

Public Meeting Proposed Minuteman Bikeway Extension Along Railroad Avenue September 25, 2013





Meeting Purpose

 Discuss design options along Railroad Avenue for the bikeway extension





Project Limits

- The project will extend the bikeway from South Road to Wheeler Drive, for a total length of 1.9 miles
 - Railroad Ave from Depot Park to gravel parking area – 0.32 miles
 - Off road section 1.6 miles





Project History

- Feasibility Study completed in 11/2005
 - Investigated existing trail condition, wetland locations, regional connectivity, design criteria, funding options
- Supplemental Study completed 11/2008
 - Compared various surface treatments, trail widths, and funding options and criteria

VHE

- Transportation Enhancement Grant
 - Preliminary approval received in April 2006.
- Project Presented to Selectmen on 9/15/08
- Field Survey Fall 2010



Project History

- Project Presented to Selectmen in 2009
- Town Meeting voted in 3/23/10 to advance a paved trail to final design (article 18)
- 2012 DPW submitted a applications to MassDOT for construction funding
 - Responding to comments
 - Finalize request for approval





Project History

- 2013 discussed alignment option along Elm Brook with Conservation Commission
- 2013 presented options to Selectmen
 - Voted to connect the bikeway on Railroad Avenue
 - Finalizing construction funding requests to MassDOT





Design Standards for Bike Accomodations

- Current MassDOT Design Guide
- 1999 (AASHTO) Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities
- Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990
- American Access Board
- 2009 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD)





Railroad Avenue - Constraints

- Right of Way (40 foot with 10 sidewalk easement in some areas)
- Current pavement width varies from 20 ft to 28 ft
- Trees
- Geometry at gravel parking area
- Utility poles, hydrants, etc
- Drainage
- Property encroachments
- Driveways





Railroad Avenue

- Local Roadway classification
- Traffic includes cars, trucks, buses, bikes
- Low traffic volumes collected in June 2013 (less than 4,000 vph)
- Minimum roadway and shoulder widths for bike usage -





Travel Lane widths

Exhibit 5-14 Range of Travel Lane Widths (In Feet)

	Roadway Type					
Area Type	Freeways	Arterials ¹	Collectors ²	Local Roads		
Rural Natural	12	11 to 12	10 to 12	9 to 12		
Rural Developed	12	11 to 12	10 to 12	9 to 12		
Rural Village	N/A	11 to 12	10 to 12	9 to 12		
Suburban Low Density	12	11 to 12	10 to 12	9 to 12		
Suburban High Density	12	11 to 12	10 to 12	9 to 12		
Suburban Village/Town Center	N/A	11 to 12	10 to 12	9 to 12		
Urban	12	11 to 12	10 to 12	9 to 12		

1 Lane widths less than the values shown above may be used if a design exception is obtained. See Chapter 2 for a description of the design exception procedure. Situations where narrower lanes may be considered are described below.

2 Minimum 11-foot lanes are required for design speeds of 45 miles per hour or greater.

N/A Not Applicable

Source: Adapted from A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, AASHTO 2004, Chapter 4 Cross-Section Elements.





Shoulder widths

Exhibit 5-12 Widths of Usable Shoulders (In Feet)

	Roadway Type					
Area Type	Freeways ¹	Arterials ²	Collectors ²	Local Roads		
Rural Natural	10 to 12	4 to 12	4 to 10	2 to 8		
Rural Developed	10 to 12	4 to 12	4 to 10	2 to 8		
Rural Village	N/A	4 to 12	4 to 10	2 to 8		
Suburban Low Density	10 to 12	4 to 12	4 to 10	2 to 8		
Suburban High Density	10 to 12	4 to 12	4 to 10	2 to 8		
Suburban Village/Town Center	N/A	4 to 12	4 to 10	2 to 8		
Urban	10 to 12	4 to 12	4 to 10	2 to 8		

Source: Flexibility in Highway Design, AASHTO 2004. Chapter 6 Cross Section Elements

1 Left shoulders are required on Freeways and other divided roadways. See the AASHTO Green Book for left-shoulder guidance.

2 Shoulder widths less than the values shown above may be used if a design exception is obtained. See Chapter 2 for a description of the design exception procedure. Situations where narrower shoulders may be considered are described below.

Note: An additional 2-foot offset from the edge of the shoulder is required to vertical elements over 6-inches in height (such as guardrail).



Minuteman West Bikeway

Rail to Trail

Traffic Data collected from June 20,2013 to June 27, 2013

			Bikes	Cars	Buses	Trucks	Total	Total EB/WB	
6/20/2013	Thursday	Eastbound	11	1092	41	280	1424		
		Westbound	10	1109	13	280	1412	2836	
6/21/2013	Friday	Eastbound	13	1254	47	380	1694		
		Westbound	14	1343	20	344	1721	3415	
6/22/2013	Saturday	Eastbound	20	659	1	175	855		
		Westbound	9	641	0	124	774	1629	
6/23/2013	Sunday	Eastbound	3	600	0	132	735		
		Westbound	2	611	0	66	679	1414	
6/24/2013	Monday	Eastbound	7	911	22	398	1338		
		Westbound	8	1102	4	328	1442	2780	
6/25/2013	Tuesday	Eastbound	15	2077	17	706	2815		
		Westbound	15	2489	6	491	3001	5816	Special State Election
6/26/2013	Wednesday	Eastbound	16	932	6	465	1419		
		Westbound	9	1201	3	338	1551	2970	
6/27/2013	Thursday	Eastbound	4	968	6	376	1354		
		Westbound	0	1146	4	286	1436	2790	

VHB



Alternative cross section considerations

- Right of Way
- Tree Removal
- Character
- Pedestrian accessability
- Construction costs





Railroad Ave – Cross Section Options

- 6 ft sidewalks both sides
 2-10 ft travel lanes with 4 ft shoulders
- 10 ft sidewalk (south side) w/ 6 ft sidewalk (north side)

2-11 ft travel lanes and 4 ft shoulders

3. 10 ft off road shared use path (south side)5 ft buffer and 2 -12 ft travel lanes (no shldrs)





Railroad Ave design considerations for all options

- Trees will be replaced at a 2:1 ratio that will be removed due to sidewalk and roadway work
- Driveway aprons will be reconstructed
- Roadway crossing at the parking area will be upgrades to improve visibility





Option 1 cross section

- Total width of road and sidewalks 40 ft
- 4 ft shoulder with 10 ft travel lanes
- No land takings
- Maintains 6 foot sidewalks on both sides of roadway
- Reduces tree impacts
- Full roadway and stormwater upgrades
- Reconstruct driveway aprons into properties





Railroad Ave Option 1 Cross Section





Minuteman West Bikeway Rail to Trail Railroad Avenue 1 ----EN IC 4 - 1













Option 2 cross section

- Total width of road and sidewalk 46 ft
- 4 ft shoulders with 11 ft lanes
- Will require land takings along entire road within project limits
- 10 ft Widened sidewalks on south side
- 6 ft sidewalks on north side
- Tree impacts are higher than option 1
- Full depth roadway and stormwater reconstruction





Option 2 cross section





Minuteman West Bikeway







Minuteman West Bikeway

Rail to Trail





Proposed HMA drive

Proposed cement concrete walk

Proposed HMA drive







Railroad Ave Option 3 cross section

- Total width of road and sidewalks 45 ft
- Share the road symbols on 12 ft lanes
- Will require land takings along entire road within project limits
- Widens sidewalks on south side to 10 ft with grass strip
- 6 ft sidewalks on north side
- Tree impacts are higher than option 1
- Full depth roadway and stormwater reconstruction





Option 3 cross section



VHB





Minuteman West Bikeway Rail to Trail

Proposed HMA drive

Proposed cement

concrete drive

Proposed cement concrete walk

Proposed loam and seed area (typ.)

11.1





Proposed cement

concrete drive

Proposed full depth pavement

Proposed cement

concrete walk











Shared lane pavement markings







Railroad Avenue







Railroad Avenue Crossing

- Passive signal system
 - No warrant required
 - No mast arm
- Hybrid pedestrian signals
 - Requires more than 20 ped/bikes crossing during one hour to be installed
 - Overhead installation



Ninuteman West Bikeway Rail to Trail (Section 1) (Section 1) (Section 2) (Sec







Pedestrian Hybrid System

Parking at Railroad Ave

Railroad Ave parking area

Next Steps

- Address comments from this meeting
- Submit response letter to MassDOT for construction support
- Submit preliminary design plans to MassDOT for review
- Meet with property owners as design develops
- Secure construction advertisement date

Questions or Comments?

Elm Brook Alternative Option

Study needs to be completed to determine if this is a viable alignment for a transportation corridor

- Path cross section and surface material consistency
- South Road crossing options
- Wetland Impacts (greater than 5,000 sf)
- Elm Brook Flood Plain Impacts
- Miller Moore Conservation Restriction
- Elm Brook Conservation Area
- Land Takings
- Potential mitigation requirements
- Funding eligibility
- Design/permitting and land taking schedule

